

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LB 1285

couple of you, as individuals, that I don't know what I would do as a practicing attorney advising a client that they are subject to a \$10,000 a day fine while they appeal. What do you do? Do you tell them you'll take the \$10,000 a day out of your fee so they can feel better about that? How can they legitimately proceed with an appeal? And I know that there are provisions for stays, there are other provisions if we adopted the whole of the bill, but on a practical basis what do you tell a client when they're subject to a fine of \$10,000 per day and they're seeking to have the basis for that fine reviewed in a court? Now, the more fundamental issue I think is at what level do these orders really become binding on parties, and what confidence do we have in the decision-making process that leads to those orders finally becoming binding? And, for the most part, we're talking about a segment of Nebraska's economy, a segment of our infrastructure that touches every one of us on a daily basis--our telecommunications infrastructure. Now, people are fighting and scratching and kicking and clawing to offer us services of some kind at some price over some piece of infrastructure. Those debates are very high-stake debates for the persons involved. They have lots of money at stake. You have lots at stake in terms of the service that you'll receive and what it will cost you. There are enormous risks at stake, theirs and yours. Now, in that environment, in that environment do you want the decisions of an agency of state government, although it's elected, five individuals elected across the state of Nebraska, to have kind of the upper hand, if you will, in that decision-making process?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Or do you want that decision-making process to have a good open hearing in that forum but leave open realistically the decision for ultimately the courts to make about whether or not the decision was based on appropriate evidence and in accordance with the law? Where do you want to shift that burden? Now, the other thing that bothers me a little bit and I'm going to make a personal statement about this, I've just...I saw on my desk a fact sheet from the Nebraska Public Service Commission and it constantly talks in terms of one company's objections to this bill and an association's objection to this bill. The implication is, I